

Application Number: 10/604,573 Examiner: Shawn Riley Art Unit: 2838

February 3, 2005

Resubmission of Office Action Reply due to Non-Compliance 37 C.F.R. 1.121*Signature*

The following 15 sheets are a retransmission by facsimile identical to the Office Action Reply submitted on January 10, 2005 to the United States Patent and Trademark Office for application number 10/604,573 entitled System and Method for Integrating a Digital Core with a Switch Mode Power Supply, with the addition of the Signature Page submitted separately on February 1, 2005. Today's retransmission complies with the instructions given by telephone by Legal Instruments Examiner Tracey Young, Telephone Number (571) 272-1644, on Wednesday, February 2, 2005, recommending retransmission in its entirety despite today's retransmission creating duplicates of the documents originally transmitted on January 10, 2005 and February 1, 2005. Today's retransmission shall be deemed authentic by virtue of the signature hereunder of Andrew R. Gizara, the sole inventor and applicant.

Andrew R. Gizara February 3, 2005
Sole Independent Inventor and Applicant

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Facsimile Transmission Cover Page, 16 pages total including this page

January 10, 2005

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE ACTION REPLY

FOR

(TITLE):

**SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INTEGRATING A
DIGITAL CORE WITH A SWITCH MODE POWER
SUPPLY**

APPLICANT:

ANDREW R. GIZARA

APPLICATION NUMBER:

10/604,573

FILING DATE:

07/31/2003

EXAMINER:

SHAWN RILEY

ART UNIT:

2838

PREPARED BY:

**Andrew R. Gizara
24471 Corta Cresta Drive
Lake Forest, California 92630-3914
(949) 457-0751**

Office Action Reply Page 1 of 14

Application Number: 10/604,573 Examiner: Shawn Riley Art Unit: 2838

January 10, 2005

REPLY TO DETAILED ACTION*Specification*

The final page of this Office Action Reply includes the currently amended Abstract of the disclosure to replace in its entirety the original Abstract. The currently amended abstract is a subset of the original Abstract, length reduced to less than 150 words and having removed legal phraseology, i.e. "said", a subset with the exception of adding the adjectives "digital open-loop" before the term "output voltage fixing circuitry" in order to include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains.

Claim Objections

Subsequent pages of this Office Action Reply include the currently amended Claims. Claim 15 has been revised to depend solely on claim 9, with the applicable clause from claim 14, "a substrate of fiberglass resin epoxy of type FR4 based laminate material" explicitly stated in the claim text of claim 15.

All claims have been revised for proper use of punctuation, particularly use of colons, semicolons and commas. For instance, all dependent claims at a minimum have been revised to include a missing comma immediately following the referenced claim number. Many of the revised claims now use improved legal phraseology with terms "said", "comprising", "further comprising", and "wherein". Indentation shown in the currently amended claims is preferred compared to the original claims because undesired differences may be apparent due to the applicant's inability to observe and edit the final

Office Action Reply Page 2 of 2

Application Number: 10/604,573 Examiner: Shawn Riley Art Unit: 2838 January 10, 2005
electronically packaged version of the application submitted through the Electronic Filing
System.

Claim Rejections

5 Claims 2 and 3 have been canceled because the applicant cannot point out
patentable novelty in claims 2 and 3 in their broad form in view of the state of the art
disclosed by the cited references.

However, the applicant hereby requests reconsideration of claim 1 as amended to
include within the clause of "output voltage fixing circuit", the further limitation of
10 "digital open-loop output voltage fixing circuit". The applicant asserts this does not
introduce new matter under 37 C.F.R. 1.121(f) as the original specification presents
digital open-loop terminology in no less than two instances. The last sentence in the
Summary of Invention introduces the open-loop configuration: "... the present invention's
substantial departure from prior art and significant novelty exists in the preferred
15 embodiment wherein said switch mode synchronous DC-to-DC step-down converter is
implemented in an open-loop configuration retaining precision based on semiconductor
die power consumption characterization data...". Most precisely the original
specification states this novelty of digital open-loop configuration in the last sentence of
paragraph 32 in the Detailed Description describing Figure 6, "The equation in block 601
20 and its derivation verifies the assertion of the fundamental theoretical principle of the
present invention, namely, given fixed input and output voltages 101, 102, and having

Office Action Reply Page 3 of 14

Application Number: 10/604,573 Examiner: Shawn Riley Art Unit: 2838 January 10, 2005
characterization data defining all supply current states I_o , with empirical data or even
reasonably accurate estimates stating the component losses, one may digitally fix the duty
cycle of a high efficiency synchronous switch mode power supply in an open loop
configuration and still obtain a precise output voltage 102 while eliminating the expense
5 of the frequency compensated feedback loop and especially the precision analog circuits
internal to the semiconductor die 100". This very sentence also clearly points out the
patentable novelty over the cited reference Rozsypal (U.S. Patent 6,781,353) as well as
the cited reference Ito et al. (U.S. Patent 6,683,767). Rosypal (U.S. Patent 6,781,353)
clearly states, "Error amplifier 247 is a standard analog amplifier formed in the regulation
10 feedback path..." in line 9 of column 5, implying an analog closed-loop voltage control
algorithm. Also in the invention of Rozsypal (U.S. Patent 6,781,353), comparators 220,
230, 247 and current references 242, 246 are analog components that fix the output
voltage in a closed-loop configuration, the closed-loop being defined by the path from the
output voltage back through the analog comparators 220, 230, 247 through the logic
15 blocks 221, 250 which directly control the output voltage. Likewise, Ito et al. (U.S.
Patent 6,683,767) presents a plurality of analog precision components internal to the
semiconductor, i.e. the reference voltage generators 60, 100, and particularly the
differential amplifier 41 and reference voltage buffer 110. While Ito et al. (U.S. Patent
6,683,767) does not detail the control algorithm for precise output voltage control of the
20 switch mode power supply, an analog reference voltage generator 110 is clearly depicted
in Figures 29 and 30 for such use. Thus while the references cited preclude the present

Office Action Reply Page 4 of 4

Application Number: 10/604,573 Examiner: Shawn Riley Art Unit: 2838 January 10, 2005
invention's claim 1 in its original broad form, the currently amended claim 1 with the
further limitation of "digital open-loop output voltage fixing circuit" best describes in
broadest form the patentable novelty over the references cited.

5 The applicant hereby requests reconsideration of claim 14 in its currently amended
form. Once again, the further limitation of the clause: "digital open-loop output voltage
fixing circuit" renders this currently amended claim 14 patentable over the references
cited based on the same argument for reconsidering the currently amended claim 1.

10 *Allowable Subject Matter*

Claims 4-6 and 9-13 per the recommendation of the examiner have been rewritten
such that claim 4 now exists in independent form including all of the limitations of the
original base claim 1 and the further limiting clause of the original claim 4. The
remaining claims addressed by this comment in the first Office Action, Claims 5, 6, and
15 9-13 now being dependent upon claim 4 in their currently amended form all presently fall
into the category of allowable subject matter as stated in the first Office Action.

The applicant hereby requests reconsideration of claims 7 and 8 because in their
currently amended form these claims now have dependence upon claim 4 instead of
20 dependence upon claim 1 as in their original form and therefore with the currently

Office Action Reply Page 5 of 8 /4

Application Number: 10/604,573 Examiner: Shawn Riley Art Unit: 2838 January 10, 2005
amended claim 4, the scope of claims 7 and 8 have also narrowed to include the subject
matter of patentable novelty of claim 4.

Conclusion

5 Claim 4, per the recommendation of the examiner, having been rewritten such that
claim 4 now exists in independent form, increases the total number of independent claims
in the application by one. Therefore, the applicant concurrent to this facsimile transmittal
has paid the fee defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.16(b) for a small entity defined by 37 C.F.R.
1.27(a) for the additional independent claim. Since the examiner has indicated allowable
10 subject matter, the applicant may subsequently request an international-type search report
under 37 C.F.R. 1.104(a)(4). The applicant can be reached at (949) 457-0751 from 9am-
10:30 am EDT Monday through Friday, or else a voice mail or facsimile message may be
left at that telephone number at any time. The applicant's email address is:
gizara@cox.net and is a preferred means of communication.

15

Office Action Reply Page 6 of 14

Application Number: 10/604,573 Examiner: Shawn Riley Art Unit: 2838

January 10, 2005

SIGNATURE PAGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.4

Signature

This certifies that the Office Action Reply submitted on January 10, 2005 with the
United States Patent and Trademark Office for application number 10/604,573 entitled
5 System and Method for Integrating a Digital Core with a Switch Mode Power Supply
shall be deemed authentic by virtue of the signature hereunder of Andrew R. Gizara, the
sole inventor and applicant.

Andrew R. Gizara, January 10, 2005

Office Action Reply Last Page

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ **BLACK BORDERS**

☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**

☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**

☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**

☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**

☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**

☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.